# Linking Annuity Bene ts to Financial and Longevity Experience: A Joint Pricing Framework

Doreen Kabuche<sup>1,2</sup>, and Annamaria Olivieri<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>ARC Centre of Excellence in Population Ageing Research (CEPAR)
 <sup>2</sup>School of Risk and Actuarial Studies, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia
 <sup>3</sup>Department of Economics and Management, University of Parma, Italy

July 26, 2023

#### Outline

Introduction

Modelling Framework

Numerical Illustration

#### Outline

Introduction

Modelling Framework

**Numerical Illustration** 

### Background

- The demand for longevity guarantees remains low due to high costs.
- Alternative solutions: longevity-linked products with exible guarantees.
- The bene t amount is updated to the mortality (longevity) experience.
- Also common: nancial-linked products, the bene t amount is updated to the realized investment experience.
- Allow sharing of losses, and possibly pro ts between the provider and annuitants.

#### Previous Literature

- Insurance products: adaptive algorithmic annuities [Luthy et al., 2001], longevity-indexed life annuities [Denuit et al., 2011], longevity-contingent life annuities [Denuit et al., 2015], participating longevity-linked life annuities [Bravo, 2022], etc.
- Also a common practice to link the annuity bene ts to nancial experience: with-pro t annuities or PLAs [Maurer et al., 2013].
- Forms of participation also present in risk sharing products: GSAs, pooled annuities and tontines [Piggott et al., 2005], [Qiao and Sherris, 2013], [Milevsky and Salisbury, 2015], [Donnelly et al., 2013], [Donnelly et al., 2014], [Chen et al., 2019] no explicit guarantees.

#### **Motivation**

#### Literature Gaps

Little previous work on linked annuity arrangements that include both nancial and (partial) longevity participation, possibly including guarantees.

#### Motivation

#### Research Goals

- Investigate the joint presence of nancial and longevity participation.
- The bene ts are updated based on longevity and nancial experience, including (partial) guarantees.
- Devise the realistic price of the risk retained by the provider (prices (fees) of guarantees under uncertain mortality and interest rates).
- Explore trade-o s between the retained risk amount and the guarantee cost from the individual and provider perspectives.

### Methodology

- We follow the general linking mechanism proposed in [Olivieri and Pitacco, 2020].
- We estimate interest and mortality using an an e term structure model, the AFNS independent factor model [Christensen et al., 2011, Huang et al., 2019].
- We use a periodic fee structure adopted in variable annuities [Bacinello et al., 2011, Olivieri, 2021].

#### Outline

Introduction

Modelling Framework

Numerical Illustration

### The Linking Framework

Financial Linking

### The Linking Framework

Financial and Longevity linking

$$B_{t} = B_{t-1} \times \max \quad 1 - t; \min \quad \frac{p_{x+t-1}^{0}}{p_{x+t-1}}; 1 + t \times (1 + \max(r_{\min}; \#_{t}r_{t}));$$
(4)

- where  $_t$  2 [0; 0:5] is the longevity participation proportion and  $\#_t$  2 [0; 1] is the nancial participation proportion.
- We determine the price of nancial and longevity participation.

### The Pricing Framework

- The metric used to determine the required fees is the business value for the provider.
- De ned as the present value of future pro ts net of the cost of capital.
- We examine the structure of periodic fees (the corresponding discount factor) under di erent assumptions.

### The Pricing Framework

The dynamics of the policy fund is then described by the following equation:

$$A_t \cdot N_{x+t} = A_{t-1} \cdot N_{x+t-1} \cdot (1-) \cdot (1+F_t) - B_t \cdot N_{x+t}; \tag{5}$$

where is the proportional premium loading (or fee) that is charged to each policy fund.

### The Pricing Framework

The premium amount paid to the provider is determined by solving backwards Equation (5) (note that  $A_{1}$   $_{x}$  = 0), we nd as follow:

$$A_0 = \sum_{s=1}^{! \times (x)} B_s \cdot ((1 - ) \cdot (1 + r))^{-s} \cdot \frac{N_{x+s}}{n_x};$$

$$A_0 = S:$$

#### Outline

Introduction

Modelling Framework

Numerical Illustration

#### Numerical Illustration

#### Assumptions

The proposed products are issued to Italian males aged 65 in 2021.

The maximum attainable age is assumed to be 100.

The annuity payments are made at the end of the year.

For nancial linking:  $\#_t = 1$  and  $r_{min} = -0.1\%$ .

For longevity linking: t = 0.1 so that 0.9  $\frac{p_{x+t-1}^0}{p_{x+t-1}}$  1.1.

The initial bene ts:  $B_0 = 1$  and the maximum age to stop linking  $x_{\text{max}} = 95$ .

#### Main Results

Table 1: The linked annuity bene ts from nancial linking, longevity linking, and nancial and longevity linking.

Base case scenario									
	Financial linking			Longevity linking			Financial and longevity linking		
Statistic	5%	Mean	95%	5%	Mean	95%	5%	Mean	95%
Time 10 (Age 75)	1.0871	1.1168	1.1471	0.9789	1.0000	1.0213	1.0789	1.1168	1.1556
Time 15 (Age 80)	1.1565	1.1967	1.2389	0.9635	1.0001	1.0377	1.1378	1.1969	1.2590
Time 31 (Age 96)	1.4186	1.4942	1.5716	0.8181	1.0075	1.2299	1.1954	1.4837	1.8220
Time 35 (Age 100)	1.4941	1.5796	1.6674	0.8181	1.0075	1.2299	1.1954	1.4837	1.8220

#### Main Results

Now assume initial premium S=1000 in monetary units then the initial bene t B0 = 60.07

Table 2: Periodic fee to be charged each year to the policy fund value and the adjusted initial bene t amount given the initial premium of S = 1,000.

Arrangement	Periodic fee	Adjusted initial bene t
Financial linking	2.14%	46.06
Longevity linking	0.1%	59.39
Financial and longevity linking	1.99%	47.03

#### Outline

Introduction

Modelling Framework

Numerical Illustration

- The joint presence of nancial and longevity participation has compensation e ects as well as risk-return trade-o s for the provider and policyholders.
- Financial and longevity-linked annuity bene ts are slightly lower on average, but there is compensation in the form of a higher upside of the realized investment return.
- Compensation e ects from nancial participation, reducing the participation fee or the retained cost of the guarantees.

## Thanks! Questions/comments?

#### References I

- Bacinello, A. R., Millossovich, P., Olivieri, A., and Pitacco, E. (2011). Variable annuities: A unifying valuation approach.

  Insurance: Mathematics and Economics(3):285{297.
- Bravo, J. M. (2022).
  Pricing participating longevity-linked life annuities: A bayesian model ensemble approach.
  European Actuarial Journal 2(1):125{159.
- Chen, A., Hieber, P., and Klein, J. K. (2019).
  Tonuity: A novel individual-oriented retirement plan.
  ASTIN Bulletin: The Journal of the IAA49(1):5{30.

#### References II

- Christensen, J. H., Diebold, F. X., and Rudebusch, G. D. (2011). The ane arbitrage-free class of nelson(siegel term structure models. Journal of Econometrics 64(1):4(20.
- Denuit, M., Haberman, S., and Renshaw, A. (2011). Longevity-indexed life annuities.

  North American Actuarial Journal5(1):97{111.
- Denuit, M., Haberman, S., and Renshaw, A. E. (2015). Longevity-contingent deferred life annuities.

  Journal of Pension Economics & Finante(3):315{327.
- Donnelly, C., Guillen, M., and Nielsen, J. P. (2013). Exchanging uncertain mortality for a cost.

  Insurance: Mathematics and Economics (1):65{76.

#### References III

- Donnelly, C., Guillen, M., and Nielsen, J. P. (2014). Bringing cost transparency to the life annuity market. Insurance: Mathematics and Economics 14{27.
- Huang, Z., Sherris, M., Villegas, A., and Ziveyi, J. (2019). The application of a ne processes in cohort mortality risk models. UNSW Business School Research Paper Forthcoming
- Luthy, H., Keller, P., Binswangen, K., and Gmur, B. (2001). Adaptive algorithmic annuities.

  Bulletin of the Swiss Association of Actuaries 23{138.
- Maurer, R., Rogalla, R., and Siegelin, I. (2013).
  Participating payout life annuities: lessons from germany.
  ASTIN Bulletin: The Journal of the IAA(3(2):159{187.

#### References IV

- Milevsky, M. A. and Salisbury, T. S. (2015).

  Optimal retirement income tontines.

  Insurance: Mathematics and economics 91 (105.)
- Olivieri, A. (2021).

  Designing annuities with exibility opportunities in an uncertain mortality scenario.

  Picks 9(11):199
  - Risk\$ 9(11):189.
- Olivieri, A. and Pitacco, E. (2020).
  Linking annuity bene ts to the longevity experience: alternative solutions.
  Annals of Actuarial Science4(2):316{337.

#### References V

- Piggott, J., Valdez, E. A., and Detzel, B. (2005). The simple analytics of a pooled annuity fund. Journal of Risk and Insuranc (3):497{520.
- Qiao, C. and Sherris, M. (2013). Managing systematic mortality risk with group self-pooling and annuitization schemes. Journal of Risk and Insurance (4):949{974.
- Ungolo, F., Sherris, M., and Zhou, Y. (2021). a ne\_mortality: A github repository for estimation, analysis, and projection of a ne mortality models. Available at SSRN 3912983

Table 3: AFNS Interest Rate Model Estimated Parameters

k <sub>11</sub>	$k_{22}^{P}$	$k_{33}^{P}$	11	22	33
0.4983	1.0128	1.0529	0.0250	0.0208	0.0370
	<i>P</i> 2	<i>P</i> 3			
0.0803	-0.0695	-0.0182	0.1277		

Table 4: AFNS Interest Model Goodness of Fit.

Log likelihood	RMSE	No. of parameters	No. of observations	AIC	BIC
-23739.63	0.0035	33	3840	47545.26	47751.62

We have used the guidelines provided in [Christensen et al., 2011] for calibrating the AFNS independent factor interest rate model and the codes available at https://cepr.org/event/1854/Codes\_slides

Table 5: AFNS Mortality Model Estimated Parameters

$k_{; 11}^{P}$	$k_{; 22}^{P}$	$k_{; 33}^{P}$	S <sub>11</sub>	S <sub>22</sub>	S <sub>33</sub>
0.0665	0.0151	0.0192	0.0011	0.0004	0.0001
<i>r</i> <sub>1</sub>	$r_2$	$r_c$			
1.5502e-16	0.7777	1.6548e-06	-0.1127		

Table 6: AFNS Mortality Model Estimated Parameters

<i>k</i> <sup>P</sup> ; 11	$k_{; 22}^{P}$	k <sup>P</sup> ; 33	S <sub>11</sub>	S <sub>22</sub>	S <sub>33</sub>
0.0665	0.0151	0.0192	0.0011	0.0004	0.0001
<i>r</i> <sub>1</sub>	$r_2$	$r_c$			
1.5502e-16	0.7777	1.6548e-06	-0.1127		