eJournal of Tax Research

Volume 10 Number 3

November012



Land taxation: a New Zeew(dpB(12.86 0 Td [(er)/tax criteria are then applied to land tax proposal substitute for capital gains tax, which New Zealaradical alternative to income tax, a national land v

1. Introduction

Land taxes have a long theoretical pedigree and, historically, a parti

Land taxation: a New Zealand perspective

abolished, a national land tax mighton tribute to promoting equality Second, from the perspective of the Hardimons comprehensive income modeline New Zealand tax system has a significant gap in its tax base, inasmuch as capital gains are not generally taxed. A land tax might go some wtay filling this gap. Furthermore, property is, in general, lightly taxed in New Zealand in heavily skewed towards residential property.

altogether and taxes only land values. A graded,-data, or splitrate property tax applies a lower rate to improvement values. The term land tax valuation is used to represent both its pure and partial forms.

Broadly, a property tax is a proxyrf income tax and, rightly or wrongly, presumes that a certain level of property holdings indicate a certain ability to pay taxes on a regular basis. In contrast, an LVS about the land itself its scarcity, immovability and centrality to human activity

2.1 Theory

Among others, William Petty, François Quesnay, Adam Smith, David Ricardo and John Stuart Mill have supported versions of land taxation Quesnay and the Physiocrats, taxing land value 'was justified because [of the] productiveness of land ... since all taxes had to be paid out of rent, it would be sensible to replace all other taxes by a single tax on rent 18

which set out George's proposal for a single land tax on the 'unearned incrêment', attracted much attention in New Zealand. As in California and Victoria, a practical scarcity of land in colonial New Zealand arose as a consequence of speculation. Furthermore, contrary to 'the vision several leading Liberals had for New Zealand as a thriving rural economy populated by yeoman farmens, possibility of a landed 'aristocracy' forming a consequence of land aggregation was feared, particularly by settlers whose families had experienced the Highland Clearances.

William McCluskey and Riël Franzsen argue that George's ideas influenced 'the politicians of the day in New Zealand, AustæalBouth Africa, Jamaica and Kenya to introduce such a tax², but Gareth Morgan and Susan Guthrie observe that, despite being well known, George's views 'had little impact', with Mill appearing to have been more influentia³. Nevertheless, Paul Goldsmith concludes that the first Liberal government, led by John Ballance, while not persuaded to implement George's radicalism, did wish to 'recover for the state at least a portion of the 'unearned increment' through a land tax³. This wish was reflected in the oppressive Land and Income Tax Assessment Act 1891 (NZ), which 'had the specific purpose of breaking up the large estates (so property ownership could be more evenly spread throughout the community) '3².

2.2 Legislative History

Notwithstanding an experiental property tax levied in the colonial period New Zealand's first direct tax was a land tax enacted in 1876 is was succeeded in 1879

25

Land taxation: a New Zealand perspective

by a property tax, which included personal property in its \$\frac{1}{2}\$ sathhough a substantial exemption of £500 applie he rate of tax in the first year was 1d/£1 (0.4 per cent). The property tax was repealed by the Land and Income Tax Assessment Act 1891 (NZ). This Act provided for a tax 'on land and all mortgages held on land and also for a tax on income from businessed emoluments in Initially the tax was levied on a split rate basis the ordinary land tax was levied at a rate of 1d/£1 (0.4 per cent) on the capital value of land owned less the value of improvements up to £3,000 and less the amount of any mortgagesing. The graduate tand tax was levied at rates

taxing income and land under the same legislation ended when the separate Income Tax Act 1976 (NZ) and Land Tax Act 1976 (NZ) were enacted. Finally, the Land Tax Abolition Act 1990 (NZ) repealed the land tax with fact from 31 March 1992.

Why did the land tax, which was originally such a major source of national government revenue and, indeed, an important instrument of social engineering, become so insignificant? To a great extent, successive government dathewtax to fail. Philosophically, a policy shift from taxing Georgian 'unearned increment' to taxing comprehensive income in terms of the Hairmons principle can be discerned. Thus, from the 1940s, around the world, income tax brought many more people into the tax net and, as a consequence, grew exponentially in importance for government revenue. With the ascendency of income tax, no incentive lay in formulating a better land tax. Another narrative is that of the unwillingness of New Zealand governments since the 1980s to tax capitally practice, the land tax was undermined by exemptions: in 1982, only five per cent of total land value was taxed, 'agricultural land being explicitly exempted and residential land effectively exempted by the exemption \$175,000 for all landowners. Furthermore, it was thought that effective use of a national LVT was limited because local property rates constitute the principal source of local authority revertibe

2.3 Contemporary Land Taxation

The Local Governmet Act 2002 (NZ), which introduced significant changes to local government in New Zealand, empowers local authorities to pursue their communities' social, economic, environmental and cultural-basings. Through processes of community consultation and deliberation, local authorities must formulate community outcomes, derived from these four-basings. A long-term plan, which a local authority must have at all timbers an evolving and rolling blueprint for achieving those community ecific outcomes an evolving and rolling local authorities with powers to charge rates 'in order to promote the purposes of the [Local Government] Act 2002 (NZ) invests local authorities with powers to charge rates that

⁵⁰ This is not to suggest that the New Zealand goment evoctrposesw Z(I)5([11(r)-4r3)-13(r(ol)a-11(t)

Land taxation: a New Zealand perspective

may be charged afe:a general rate, chargeable against all rateable familiardixed amount, universal annual general charge (UAGC), payable in respect of each rateable unit; a targeted rate for particular activities identified in a local authority's funding impact statement, such as waste removand a targeted to for water supplied. The aggregate of targeted rates (excluding the water rate) and UAGCs may not exceed 30 per cent of a local authority's total rates revenuelled.

In setting the geral rate, local authorities may use land value (unimproved value), capital value (improved value) or annual value (imputed rental from improved land). While land value is traditionally thought to be the 'natural' base for rural authorities, and capital or urban areas, some urban authorities use a land base and some rural authorities a capital baseAt the risk of imputing a degree of theory that may not in practice inform local authorities' decisions in this regardapital value rating may be seen as a proxy income tax and a land base as an LVT that incentivises optimal development.

McCluskey and Franzsen observe that '[h]istorically, as the primary focus of local a.085 /TT1 (a)f01(s)23(a15EMC / Tw 6.96 05347731.0Tj EMC /P <</MCID 4 >>BD14 0 Te

ratepaying and enjoyment of basic services Does the close connection between local property taxes and locally provided services preclude a national LVT?

Since local rating and a national land tax ran parallel for a century in New Zealand, the idea that property taxes are the unique preserve of local government is not historically plausible. Ausalia continues to provide an example of different tier political subdivisions sharing the same basic tax basendeed, given the shift towards capital value taxation in ratiffight might be argued that an LVT would have a different base from rates. Ass many other countries, property is, in general, lightly taxed in New Zealand. Although the rates yield of an amount approximately equal to two per cent of GDP (in 2008) is in line with the OECD average, yield as a percentage of aggregate housing valuellf from 2.2 per cent in 1980 to 0.65 per cent in 2008. Furthermore, between 1991 and 2002, aggregate land value grew at 4.8 per cent a year, while per capita growth in GDP was approximately two per cent over the same period. Since rates are 'somewhat regressive in their impassion exists not only for making existing 'property taxes both fairer and less distorlive'ut also to accommodate a low rate national LVT.

3. IS A NATIONAL LVT DESIRABLE?

Andrew Coleman and Arthur Grimes presentlausible national LVT model for New Zealand. A one per cent LVT on all ngovernment land would raise revenue equivalent to 20 per cent of current income tax yiel Adopting, in part, the Coleman-Grimes model, a majority of the Tax Working Group recommended an LVT. 82 Smith famously proposed equity, certainty, convenience and efficiency as the four 'maxims with regard to taxes in general We have already noted that, having

73 Local Government Act 2002 Amendment Bill 2012-(127) proposes a newiMTc -0.E-1 0 0 T-13(a)-2(v)11(7.3e((2)31)

applied these criteria, Smith favoured a ground rent the lowever, in this parwe apply anew Smith's maxims and other relevant considerations to LVTs in a contemporary context. The Colemanimes model indicates that a national LVT is economically plausible but is it otherwise desirable for New Zealand?

3.1 Equity

LVTs are premsed on a radical conception of equity. 'George argued that taxes on land promote fairness because the value of the land is determined by community rather than individual efforts. Since the economic rent arising from land value is considered an unearned surplus brought into existence by the activities of the community in general, rather than anything the owner has 80 ones; eminently taxable. Furthermore, the burden of an LVT falls entirely on landown farquity in this fundamental sense is plausible, but people have been inured to the idea that ability to pay during the assessment period, which lies in horizontal equity (fairness in the tax base) and vertical equity (fairness in tax rates), is the badge of equity. Vertical equity issues are less relevant for LVTs than for, say, income tax because LVT rates tend to be low, a likely to draw less attention if they are flat.⁸⁹ However, horizontal equity is a more contentious issue. As Elizabeth Plummer obsneves, '[i]f land value as a percentage of net wealth increases as household income increases, then a land value tax will be progressive ... [but] land value as a percentage of net wealth decreases as wealth increases, which suggest that a land value tax mighbe somewhat regressive Older people, often on fixed incomes, would be significantly affected by a shift to property taxation since, even though inequities between taxpayers seem to be far greater where capital value, rather than land value is used, superannuitants tend to own disproportionately expensive properties relative to their incomes. However, '[d]ifferences in land ownership

(horizontal, vertical and intergenerational), and simplicity were key, and t

eJournal of Tax Research

vitiates the advantages of an $\mathbb{L}^{1/04}$ Jeffrey Chapman and his-anothors argue that such claims are overstated and, despite, say, a paucity of vacant lots in urban areas to act as comparators, skilled assessors can develop plausible valuation ted finiques. Thus Alan Carter and Stephen Metws observe that 'outf-date values for tax purposes often distort the efficiency of property markets (by discouraging individuals

underdeveloped relative to geneland, even after taking into account differences in land quality and location. These findings are relevant for poliakers because they could have important equity implication § 4. The Georgian LVT came to prominence at a time when indigenous peoples wheing displaced from their lands by European settlers; the idea that a contemporary LVT might have the effect of driving tangata whenua (original people of the land) from their current land holdings is politically unimaginable.

3.5 Other Considerations

3.5.1 Steering Investment

Personal investment in New Zealand is heavily skewed towards residential property. 'New Zealanders have twice as much capital sunk into houses (and the land underneath) as they hold in financial assets such as bank deposits and managed funds. They've been encouraged to do this by the tax systems Morgan and Guthrie observe, these tax preferences have 'grossly distorted how wealth has been invested and has led to a considerable waste of capitaline OECD argues that the osnism of 'imputed rents and capital gains from the NZ tax base contributes to diverting household portfolios towards housing ... measures [taken so far] should be accompanied by higher property or land taxes that could be designed to achieve the same objectives as a tax on imputed rent'.

Clinton Alley and Michael Davis propose a land transfer levy to tax wealth accretions through property: the main purpose of the tax would be to correct the tax induced preference for investment in residential property in New Zeallandhe authors observe: 'It does require political intent to make the change for the betterment of future generations in this maceconomic marketplace. The abiding question is, who has the will to plant the seed for New Zealand's future by diotoring a lowrate land transfer levy reforms? Political preference lies at the root of property taxes in New Zealand. Singling out real property owners, particularly farmers special tax treatment would, indeed, appear to constitute a brave pblitionae; however, both the Labour and Green parties, which might plausibly form a future government,

¹¹⁴ Levente Tímár, Rural Land Use and Land Tenure in New Zea/Na/nortking Paper 1-113, Motu Economic and Public Policy Research, 2011) 36-37.

Morgan and Guthrie, above n 30, 137 (n omitted). However, it would be wrong to suggest that favourable taxation is the sole or principalson for New Zealanders' 'obsession' with property investment. Immature capital markets, migration patterns and 'easy credit conditions' have made rental property an attractive investment option. See Cheung, above on 7 ¹¹⁶ See Morgan and Guthrie, above n 30, 122.

¹¹⁷ See OECD, OECD Economic Surveys: New Zealand (OECD, 297.17) is is not a proposal for an LVT proper, rather for a limited form of wealth taxation. It should also be noted that New Zealand does not extend any form of mortgage reliefhome owners.

¹¹⁸ Clinton R Alley and Michael J Davies, 'A Land Transfer Levy with Equity as the Key: A Preliminary Examination into an Alternative Regime to Generate Becaused Tax Revenue' (2011) 17evN ZealandJournal of Taxation Law and Policy 3,0309. ¹¹⁹ Ibid, 338.

¹²⁰ In its recommendations for broadening the base of Australian land taxes, the Henry Report, above n

3.5.3 Visibility

Unlike, say, income tax deducted on a pay as you earn (PAYE) basis, property taxes, are egregiously visible to taxpayers. The 'ritual' of paying local rates usefully focuses the minds of taxpayers of the services they receive from their local authorities, and visibility can present psychological barriers, particularly, to property taxes. Because LVTs tend to require higher nominal rates than property taxes, they are 'politically highly visible and possibly less acceptable to property owners'. Conversely, as Roy Bahl and Sally Wallace observe, taxpayer resistance may also arise when 'visible, highwalue structures' are not taxed ltimately, consistent with the Tiebout hypothesis, we may assume that the nominal rate of a