

eJournal of Tax Research

Volume 9, Number 3

December 2011

(Special Edition: Double Tax Agreements in the Asia Pacific)



UNSW

Recent changes in international taxation and double tax agreements in Russia

Evgeny Guglyuvatyy*

1. INTRODUCTION

The Russian Federation inherited a confusing and inefficient tax system after the

In the international context, the Russian tax code provides double taxation relief by way of a tax credit for foreign taxes paid for foreign sourced income, subject to a limit equivalent to the maximum sum of Russian tax payable on the same income. Any excess foreign tax credits may not be transferred to future or previous periods. Russia is also a party to a number of double taxation agreements (DTA) with various countries. In general terms, it is rather unproblematic to repatriate capital (particularly dividends, interests and royalties) from Russia to other countries. Similarly, it is relatively simple to invest in the Russian economy through low-tax countries (or tax havens – also referred to as ‘offshore zones’ in Russia) and international holding, financial, licensing and service companies and banks. The largest part of foreign direct investment (FDI) inflow comes from countries which have favourable tax treaties with Russia. Popular locations of offshore companies utilised when conducting international business with Russia include Cyprus, Holland, Switzerland, Luxembourg and the British Virgin Islands. However, the Russian government is currently attempting to tighten the tax law and in this vein, has been updating international tax law and the existing DTA network.

2. DOUBLE TAX AGREEMENTS

From 1970 until 1991, the USSR developed a DTA network including DTAs with India, Finland, Malaysia, the Netherlands, Denmark, Japan, France, the UK, Canada, Spain, Italy, Cyprus, Germany, Sweden, Austria and the USA.⁹ However, since there were (almost) no cross-border private businesses, the application of these treaties was relatively low. After the Soviet era, Russia became party to a number of DTAs, and has continued to extend its DTA network vigorously since then.¹⁰ For example, in 1997, Russia had DTAs with 37 countries (including those inherited from the USSR),¹¹ and by 2010, had increased this number to 77.¹² This includes DTAs with most European countries, Australia, China, the USA, Canada, Japan, India, and other countries important economically and politically.¹³

With some deviations, the treaties of the USSR resembled the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) or United Nations (UN) model tax treaties of the time.¹⁴ The tax treaties to which the former USSR was a party are honoured by Russia, unless the other party to the treaty has rejected it. The Russian Tax Treaty Model (RTTM) was accepted in 1992 and in general follows the OECD model of that time.¹⁵ By and large, with some exceptions, Russian DTAs have been based on the updated OECD model. This approach corresponds to the general route of the country to join main international economic organisations, including the OECD. It is essential to emphasise that DTAs concluded by Russia with other jurisdictions are an integral part of domestic tax legislation. Russian tax law clearly indicates that if a

⁹ Zhidkova E. Y. 2009. Taxes and taxation. Moscow. Eksmo.

¹⁰ Sodnomova S. K. 2008, above n 1.

¹¹ Panskov V. G. 2006, above n 2.

¹² International Conventions of Russia. Available at: <http://www.taxpravo.ru/zakonodatelstvo/90278-int>

¹³ Panskov V. G. 2006, above n 2.

¹⁴ International Conventions of Russia, above n 12.

¹⁵ Sodnomova S. K. 2008, above n 1.

¹⁶ Resolution of the Government of the Russian Federation of 28 May 1992, No. 354, “On Conclusion of

DTA provides other regulations than the law itself, the regulations of the DTA will prevail.¹⁷ Hence, it is of no surprise that treaties significantly influence Russian domestic tax law and fiscal authorities frequently rely on DTA provisions.

2.1 Residency

The relatively large number of DTAs concluded has forced the Russian fiscal authorities to embark upon the problems connected with the application of some their provisions. One of the major issues in the international taxation context relates to concept of residency. The key criterion of fiscal residency (for corporations) in Russia is the place of incorporation. The notion of a Russian/non-Russian tax resident for corporate tax purposes is at present not defined under domestic tax law. Despite the lack of definition, Russian tax law distinguishes between domestic and foreign enterprises. Domestic enterprises are those which are established under the laws of Russia and are taxed on their worldwide income. Foreign enterprises controlled and managed in Russia are subject to tax on profits derived from business activities carried on through a permanent establishment in the Russian Federation. Despite the fact that Russia is not an OECD member state, the definition of permanent establishment under Russian domestic law¹⁸ broadly follows the permanent establishment concept provided in the OECD Model Convention. Generally, foreign companies may have certain advantages in conducting business activities in Russia through a permanent establishment. Contrary to a Russian company, after-tax profit distributions from a permanent establishment to the head office of a foreign company are not subject to dividend withholding tax.¹⁹ Further, currently Russian "thin capitalisation rules" apply to resident borrowers only. This makes a permanent establishment an attractive form of business structure to enter the Russian market.

When determining profit attribution to a permanent establishment, the domestic tax code stipulates the indirect profit allocation method as a general rule. However, the majority of Russian DTAs use the direct profit allocation method. 'Force of attraction'²⁰ clauses are present in a small number of tax treaties (with Indonesia, Kazakhstan, the Philippines, and Vietnam) but lacking in treaties with key investment and trade partners (the US, the UK, Cyprus, France, Germany, and the Netherlands). As noted above, international treaties prevail over the domestic law. For that reason, if a permanent establishment of a foreign enterprise utilises the direct profit allocation method, it cannot be forced to use the indirect method unless a relevant DTA stipulates the use of the indirect method.

Notwithstanding the Tax Code allowing the application of the indirect method, the Russian Tax Ministry recommendation²¹ stated that the attribution of a foreign enterprise's profits to its Russian permanent establishment shall be founded on the relevant principles in DTAs. That is, the permanent establishment's profit is

¹⁷ Russian Tax Code, Article 7. Available at: <http://www.info-law.ru/kodeks/12/>

¹⁸ Russian Tax Code, Article 306. Available at: <http://www.info-law.ru/kodeks/12/>

¹⁹ Polezharova L., A Permanent Establishment of a Foreign Company, Russian Tax Courier, May 2003.

²⁰ Generally, 'force of attraction clause' implies that the State may tax the business profits arising to a resident of the other State by virtue of a PE in the first state or otherwise.

²¹ Order of the Tax Ministry, No. BG-3-23/150, 28 March 2003 "On Approval of the Methodological Recommendations for Tax Authorities on the Application of Certain Provisions of Chapter 25 of the Tax Code of the Russian Federation on Taxation of Foreign Organisations".

considered to be a profit made by a separate and independent enterprise. This
resemblance between domestic law and OECD Model illustrates that tax treaties
have served as a conduit and influenced the development of Russian domestic tax law

As noted above, a number of DTAs concluded by the Russian Federation include

As noted above, the Russian government is attempting to update domestic tax law to counteract tax avoidance. Also, more anti-abuse provisions have been included in the more recent Russian tax treaties. Specific provisions can be seen in the Russia-Cyprus DTA, and it is therefore worth discussing this treaty in greater detail.

3.1 Russia-Cyprus DTA

The DTA between Russia and Cyprus was signed in 1996.³¹ This DTA was one of the major causes of the massive flow of Russian investment through the Mediterranean island in the past two decades. Cyprus is also a leader in terms of investments in Russia. At the peak of investment in 2008, Cyprus investments in Russia reached US\$56.9 billion.³² This represents more than 20% of all foreign investments in Russia.³³ Most of these investments, however, are repatriated Russian capital.

The Cyprus Government was successful in building a favourable offshore tax regime, with nearly 50,000 offshore companies incorporated and registered in Cyprus since 1975.³⁴ Nevertheless, in 2004, Cyprus joined the European Union (EU) which signified a reform of their tax regime. Cyprus has the lowest corporate tax in the EU, with resident companies paying ten percent (15% for non-resident companies),³⁵ but income from foreign sources is exempt for non-residents.³⁵ Interestingly, Cyprus

exemption on the repatriation of dividends from foreign subsidiaries of Russian businesses, but excludes Russian subsidiaries founded in countries on the blacklist. Some countries, (for example, Ireland, Luxembourg and Switzerland), lobbied the Russian government and were excluded from the blacklist.⁴¹ However, Cyprus continually failed to provide information to the Russian tax authorities and thus has stayed on the blacklist.

In April 2009, Russia and Cyprus initiated a revision of double taxation treaty, with the amending protocol to the Russia-Cyprus DTA.⁴² Signed during a visit to Cyprus by Russian President Dmitry Medvedev in October 2010. The Russian President suggested that the new protocol would provide business transparency and confirmed that Cyprus would be removed from the Russian blacklist. The importance of this DTA for Russia necessitates exploring treaty amendments to identify its major developments.

3.1.1 Amendments to the Russia – Cyprus DTA

The new protocol to the Russia-Cyprus DTA is intimately in line with the latest version of the OECD Model and commentaries thereto. Several protocol provisions are especially significant for the development of the Russian international tax regime. One of the key developments is that the term 'permanent establishment' (Article 5) was further clarified in the protocol to the DTA.⁴³ The term was extended by including the following supplementary conditions:

- provision of services through an individual, if such individual is present in Russia for more than 183 days during any 12-month period, and income from such services constitutes more than 50% of the Cyprus company's income from active business activities during the relevant period; or
- provision of services, in respect of one or connected projects, through one or more individuals, for a period exceeding 183 days (in aggregate) during any 12-month period.⁴⁴

The Russian fiscal authorities, like many other countries, want to increase their revenues. However, instead of increasing the tax base of Russian companies that pay management fees to Cypriot companies, the protocol redefines fees earned by Cypriot companies for the provision of management services as Russian sourced income. According to the protocol, a Cypriot company cannot provide management services if they lack the presence of representatives in Russia. Hence, a Cypriot company providing management services and charging the relevant fees to a Russian company is considered to have a representative office in Russia, and thus having a permanent establishment in Russia. In other words, the protocol specifies that the provision of

⁴¹ Zhidkova E. Y. 2009, above n 9.

⁴² Protocol to the Agreement between the Government of the Russian Federation and the Government of the Republic of Cyprus on the Avoidance of Double Taxation with Respect to Taxes on Income and on Capital Available at:

<http://www.taxpravo.ru/zakonodatelstvo/statya-90417->

[protokol_k_soglasheniyu_mejdu_pitalstvom_rossiyskoy_federatsii_pravitelstvom_respubliki](http://www.taxpravo.ru/zakonodatelstvo/statya-90417-protokol_k_soglasheniyu_mejdu_pitalstvom_rossiyskoy_federatsii_pravitelstvom_respubliki)

⁴³ Ibid.

⁴⁴ Ibid.

- interest which, in accordance with domestic law⁵⁰ of the source State, is treated as dividends.

The new amendments imply that income from mutual funds or similar investment vehicles will be deemed to be dividends (with the exception of income from such mutual funds investing only in immovable property as discussed above). This amendment also clarifies the question as to whether interest deemed as dividends under Russian tax law should still qualify as interest under the DTA or whether the treaty should follow the domestic law characterisation.⁵¹ However, it is not clear whether other Russian DTAs will be amended to overcome the above ambiguity. Further, interest income would continue to enjoy an exemption from withholding tax. However, this exemption does not apply to interest which constitutes a constructive dividend under Russian thin capitalisation rules.⁵² The definition of interest has been extended to embrace interest on profit-participating loans, premiums and prizes associated with government securities, bonds and debentures. Nevertheless, penalty charges for late payment are not included in the definition of interest and are therefore likely to be considered as 'business profits' or 'other income'.

A further significant amendment relates to the taxation of gains from the alienation of property (Article 13).⁵³ Specifically, the rules on the taxation of capital gains were modified in accordance with the OECD Model Tax Convention. According to the protocol, income from the alienation of assets deriving more than 50 percent of their value from Russian real estate is subject to 20 percent Russian withholding tax. However, in the following three cases, there is an exemption from Russian withholding tax:

- alienation of shares in the course of corporate reorganisation;
- alienation of shares listed on a recognised stock exchange; and
- alienation of shares by a pension fund, provident fund or the government of Cyprus.⁵⁴

A similar provision for the alienation of shares exists in the Russian Tax Code.⁵⁵ However, that provision does not specify the mechanism of paying withholding tax for a non-resident company that is lacking a presence in Russia. Further, the provision does not cover the indirect possession of Russian immovable property through a chain of Russian or Cypriot companies. It also excludes the alienation of interests in a Cypriot business holding more than 50 percent of immovable property assets in Russia and owned through a branch. As a result, the amendment appears to focus on direct

⁵⁰ Protocol to the Russia-Cyprus DTA, above n 42.

⁵¹ This approach was confirmed by Russian arbitration court in the cases involving the tax treaties with Germany and the Netherlands. See Decision of the Western Federal District Arbitration Court No. 6-19 78/2006 of 9 April 2007 and Decision of the Moscow Federal District Arbitration Court No. KA-A 0/6616-0 of 2 July 2005.

⁵² Russian Tax Code. Article 269(2). Available at: <http://www.info-law.ru/kodeks/12/>

⁵³ According to the previous version of Article 13 of the DTA, income of Cyprus companies from the sale of shares in Russian companies is exempt from Russian tax.

⁵⁴ Protocol to the Russia-Cyprus DTA, above n 42.

⁵⁵ Russian Tax Code. Article 214 (1). Available at: <http://www.info-law.ru/kodeks/12/>

real estate ownership structures only and is unlikely to affect indirect holdings. These loopholes may be addressed in the future, denying that this provision will not come into effect until January 1, 2014 at the earliest. This delay is intended to allow Russia to adjust its current DTAs with other countries.

Other amendments to the Russia-Cyprus DTA that are worthy of discussion include Articles relating to mutual agreement, exchange of information, and reciprocal assistance. According to Article 4 of the DTA, the resident status of a company is to be defined by its place of management (the residency criterion in Cyprus) or place of registration (the tax residency criterion in Russia).⁵⁶ Thus, if the company is a tax resident of both States, the place of effective management is a key factor to determine residency. The protocol has introduced a mutual agreement procedure (Article 25) in the case that the place of effective management cannot be determined.⁵⁷ However, it appears that the protocol wording does not specify the mutual agreement procedure for a situation where one state questions whether the place of effective management was the other state. The introduction of a mutual agreement procedure is still a positive development, as taxpayers are now allowed to present their case to the fiscal authority of either State within three years if they believe that a state is in breach of the DTA.⁵⁸ The previous version of the DTA permitted a taxpayer to apply only to the fiscal authority of the state where he was a resident.

Another key provision of the DTA is the exchange of information article (Article 26).⁵⁹ Article 26 uses the identical wording as the OECD Model Tax Convention. Similar amendments were also introduced to Russia's DTAs with the Czech Republic and Germany (in effect from 1 January 2010).⁶⁰

Specifically, the adjustments to the provision on exchange of information are:

- information exchanges are no longer limited to taxes covered by the DTA;
- information requests are permitted where it is 'necessary for carrying out the provisions of the agreement', and also where it is 'foreseeably relevant' for the 'administration and enforcement of domestic laws';
- information requests would need to be processed, even where the requested information is held by a bank, nominee or a person acting in an agency or fiduciary capacity or relates to the identity of the owners of the company.⁶¹

The revised provision broadens the scope of information that can be requested. In particular, either State may request information concerning taxes not only covered by the DTA (as provided in the previous DTA) but also information concerning domestic taxes. A state is obligated to provide information even though it 'may not need such information for its own tax purposes'.⁶² These amendments demonstrate the increasing

⁵⁶ Protocol to the Russia-Cyprus DTA, above n 42.

⁵⁷ Protocol to the Russia-Cyprus DTA, above n 42.

⁵⁸ Protocol to the Russia-Cyprus DTA, above n 42.

⁵⁹ Protocol to the Russia-Cyprus DTA, above n 42.

⁶⁰ These DTAs are available at: <http://www.taxpravo.ru/zakonodatelstvo/90278-int>

⁶¹ Protocol to the Russia-Cyprus DTA, above n 42.

⁶² Protocol to the Russia-Cyprus DTA, above n 42.

attention of the Russian fiscal authorities to the factual substance of Cypriot companies. Some commentators suggest that the basis for this exchange of information was the newly revised legislation of Cyprus, including the law 'On the Assessment and Collection of Taxes'.⁶³ The new Article 26 also provides that both States should follow procedures of collecting information in accordance with their domestic laws. According to the Cypriot law the Director of the Inland Revenue should provide information to the other State only if foreign fiscal authorities have provided extensive details about the taxpayer along with the justification for the request of information.⁶⁴ This clause exists to prevent foreign fiscal authorities from engaging in 'fishing expeditions' lacking any genuine evidence against the concerned taxpayer.⁶⁵ In relation to Russia, it is not clear how the exchange of tax information with other jurisdictions will be performed in practice since, at present, there are no appropriate arrangements in the Russian tax authorities' systems.⁶⁶

A further appealing aspect of the new Russia-Cyprus DTA is the development of the institution of reciprocal assistance in tax collection (Article 27).⁶⁷ The scope of assistance in the collection of taxes will be extended to allow tax authorities to verify the legitimacy and amount of the tax requirements of one State in the courts and administrative bodies of another State. The request for assistance in collection however, may be refused on various grounds - for example, if the requested measures are contrary to the domestic laws of a State. The new version of Article 27 enters into force as soon as the appropriate legislation is implemented by Cyprus.⁶⁸

Interestingly, Article 29 is not meant to apply to resident individuals. Rather, this provision appears to target corporate residents of Cyprus that were incorporated elsewhere and afterward acquired tax residence in Cyprus by moving their place of management and control. In this context, it is worth noting that there is Russian case law dealing with non-Cypriot incorporated residents that have effectively claimed benefits under the DTA. These structures are considered to be offensive by the Russian fiscal authorities and consequently, it is logical that this provision target identical arrangements.

It is also worth noting that a probable rejection of DTA benefits can only arise as a result of mutual agreement between Russia and Cyprus about the offensive character of the exploitation of tax residence in the case in question. This approach differs considerably from the approach taken in other Russian DTAs. For instance, the Russia–US DTA provides certain criteria for the availability of treaty benefits and the taxpayers can only apply to the fiscal authorities to confirm that these criteria are applicable in their particular cases. Additionally, Article 29 does not specify the applicability of the DTA where the fiscal authorities of Cyprus and Russia disagree in a certain case. A taxpayer may be deprived from the DTA benefits only if the fiscal authorities of both countries regard the taxpayer's case to be offensive. Consequently, neither DTA party may invoke this provision unilaterally, which critically limits the application of Article 29.

4.0 CONCLUSION

Russian international tax law may be characterized as rather fractional and curtailed. However, the Russian tax system is in process of reform, and recent updates in the rules related to tax avoidance as well as provisions preventing misuse of tax treaties represent a positive advancement. Unfortunately, the proposed draft regulation integrating the beneficial ownership concept into Russian tax law is not comprehensive enough to cover all the related issues. The proposed amendments will provide assistance in the Russian regulatory process concerning gift tax, stamping and tax avoidance in the international arena.

found under the DTAs provisions. This may have a profitable impact on tax revenues. Notwithstanding initial concerns caused by the amendments to the Russia Cyprus DTA, it remains one of the most beneficial Russian DTAs. On the other hand, the amendments clearly indicate that the Russian tax authorities are starting to focus on the actual business rationale behind Cypriot structures. In this sense, the protocol provides Russian fiscal authorities with instruments to confront tax-driven business structures.