

School of Education

EDST5138 Inclusive Education: Policy, Planning, and Pedagogy

Semester 1, 2018

Contents

- 1. LOCATION
- 2. STAFF CONTACT DETAILS
- 3. COURSE DETAILS

Summary of Course

Aims of the Course

Important Information

Student Learning Outcomes

Graduate Attributes

- 5. TEACHING STRATEGIES
- 6. COURSE CONTENT AND STRUCTURE
- 7. ASSESSMENT

Assessment Details

8. RESOURCES

IMPORTANT:

For student policies and procedures relating to assessment, attendance and student support, please see website, https://education.arts.unsw.edu.au/students/courses/course-outlines/

The School of Education acknowledges the Bidjigal and Gadigal people as the traditional custodians of the lands upon which we learn and teach.

This course aims to:

Provide you with theoretical and practical knowledge about inclusive education and its principles.

Provide you with practical tools to assist in designing educational content that is fully accessible, and engages all learners in diverse cla nBT/Foa4al6 Tfms

demonstration					
3a. Mini-unit idea 3b. Peer feedback /resource on idea 3c. UDLised Lesson Plans	a. 100 words b. 100 words c. 2500 words	a = nil b = nil - hurdle c = 50%	1, 3, 4	1, 2, 3, 4, 6	3a. 23 rd April 3b. 30 th April 3c. 8 th June

Assessment Details

Assessment 1: Quiz

You will respond to a series of multiple choice and short-answer questions that assess your understanding of key concepts, terminology, and legislation raised in the first three weeks of the course.

Assessment 2: Universal Design for Learning concept demonstration.

Students with diverse learning needs in inclusive classrooms require teachers to employ effective teaching methods to ensure the required content is accessible for all students. Using recently published (2008-2018) research literature on Universal Design for Learning: (a) demonstrate your understanding of how this approach accommodates all learners, regardless of disability or special need in mainstream settings, and (b) how you could apply UDL principles in your current teaching situation/role/subject specialisation.

Assessment 3a: Mini-unit idea

You will post your mini-unit idea, that you will later create 2 sequenced lesson plans for in Task 3c, for peer review and comment. Indicate what grade/learners it will be for.

Assessment 3b: Peer feedback

You will also need to view 2 other peers' mini-unit ideas and provide two (2) ideas and/or resource suggestions (e.g., useful website, Youtube clip).

Assessment 3c: UDLised Lesson plans for Mini-Unit

You will adapt the first two lessons of a mini-unit of work in one subject area of your choice. Ideally it will be for a class you have taught. Your adaptations/activities/teaching methods must show a clear connection to the needs of

8. RESOURCES

Prescribed text

Gargiulo, R., & Metcalf, D. (2016). *Teaching in today's inclusive classrooms. A universal design for learning approach* (3rd ed.). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth Cengage.

Copies are available in the HUC, and hardcopies for sale in UNSW bookshop and online as e-book through Cengage – click here)

This book is also available in screen-reader reader compatible format. Please contact Cengage for this format.

Recommended texts

Foreman, P., & Arthur-Kelly. M (eds.) (2016). *Inclusion in Action* (5th. ed.). South Melbourne, Australia: Cengage Learning.

Mitchell, D. (2014). What really works in inclusive education (2nd ed.). Abingdon, UK: Routlege.

Disability Standards for Education (2005) see link in Moodle

Recommended websites

www.cast.org

http://www.udlcenter.org

http://www.indexforinclusion.org

See Moodle for reading schedule

***All students are expected to access the course's Moodle site on a regular basis for course materials, information, and announcements.

Assessment task 1a: Recount

SPECIFIC CRITERIA			(-)—— → (+)			
Unde	erstanding of the question and the key concepts involved					
<	Understanding of the task and its relationship to relevant areas					
	of theory, research, and practice					
<	Clarity and accuracy in use of key terms and concepts in					
	relation to inclusion and disability					
Dept	h of analysis and/or critique in response to the task					
<	Depth of understanding of key inclusion principles, concepts					
	and issues explicitly raised in your Module 1 readings					
	liarity with and relevance of professional and/or research					
litera	ture used to support the response					
<						
<	,,,,,,,					
	prescribed readings to support response					
Struc	cture and organisation of response					
<	The state of the s					
<	Clarity and coherence of organisation including the use of					
	referencing					
Pres	entation of response according to appropriate academic and					
lingu	istic conventions					
<	Clarity, consistency, and appropriateness of writing conventions					
	including sentence structure, vocabulary use, spelling,					
	punctuation					
<	Correct referencing according to APA 6th edition in text and in					
	reference list					
<	Word count is within 10% of the 1000 word limit					
GEN	ERAL COMMENTS					

Lecturer: Sue O'Neill Date:

Recommended: /20 (FL PS CR DN HD) Weighting: 15%

NB: The ticks in the various boxes are designed to provide feedback to students; they are not given equal weight in determining the recommended grade. Depending on the nature of the assessment task, lecturers may also contextualize and/or amend these specific criteria. The recommended grade is tentative only, subject to standardisation processes and approval by the School of Education Learning and Teaching Committee.

Assessment task 1b: Recount peer comments

SPECIFIC CRITERIA	(-)	→ (+)
Understanding of the question and the key concepts involved	·	·

Assessment task 2a: Concept Demonstration

SPECIFIC CRITERIA		(-)		> (+)	
Under	standing of the question and the key concepts involved				
<	Understanding of the task and its relationship to relevant areas				
	of theory, research, and practice.				
<	Clarity and accuracy in use of key terms and concepts in				
	relation to inclusion and disability.				
Depth	of analysis and/or critique in response to the task				
<	Depth of understanding of UDL and how it applies to your				
	context				
Famili	iarity with and relevance of professional and/or research				
literat	ure used to support the response				
<	Ability to locate relevant, recently published (<10yrs old)				
	literature from high-quality, peer reviewed journals or edited				
	books on UDL (beyond those used in the course).				
<	Ability to synthesise and present research findings that support				
	your context.				
Struct	ture and organisation of response				
<	Response method chosen clearly demonstrates your				
	understanding and discussion.				
<	Clarity and coherence in the organisation of your ideas				

Presentation of response according to appropriate academic and linguistic conventions

Clarity, consistency, and appropriateness of writing/communication

Assessment task 2b: Cross discussion

Understanding of the question and the key concepts involved

- Understanding of the task and its relationship to relevant areas of theory, research, and practice
- Clarity and accuracy in use of key terms and concepts in relation to inclusion and disability

Assessment task 3d: Self-assessment

SPECIFIC CRITERIA	(-)	───> (+)
Understanding of the question and the key concepts involved		
 Understanding of the task 		
 Clarity and accuracy in use of key terms and concepts 		
Depth of analysis and/or critique in response to the task		
 Depth of reflection and analysis of your own work 		
 Critical assessment of your adherence to the guidelines 		
Structure and organisation of response		
 Clarity and coherence of reflective comments to template questions 		
Presentation of response according to appropriate academic and		
linguistic conventions		
 Clarity, consistency, and appropriateness of writing conventions including sentence structure, vocabulary use, spelling, punctuation 	;	
 Word count is within 10% of the 500 word limit 		
GENERAL COMMENTS		

Lecturer: Sue O'Neill Date:

Recommended: /20 (FL PS CR DN HD) Weighting: 5%

NB: The ticks in the various boxes are designed to provide feedback to students; they are not given equal weight in determining the recommended grade. Depending on the nature of the assessment task, lecturers may also contextualize and/or amend these specific criteria. The recommended grade is tentative only, subject to standardisation processes and approval by the School of Education Learning and Teaching Committee.